

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADE MARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,265	09/25/2001	Fumiyasu Hirai	011284	8050
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			CINTINS, IVARS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	7
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/961,265

Applicant(s)

Hirai et al.

Examiner

**Ivars Cintins** 

Art Unit 1724

_		on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 27, 2	2002
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	ition of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 5 and 6	is/are pending in the application.
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) 5 and 6	
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	
8) 🗌		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ation Papers	•
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	
11)		is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) LJ	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	53	ve been received in Application No
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the</li> </ol>	
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) □	<b>¬</b>	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachme		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 Info	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Serial Number: 09/961,265 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 depends from a canceled claim (i.e. claim 1), and is therefore indefinite. Claim 6 depends from claim 5, and is therefore also indefinite.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ramsden et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,640,909) or Parry et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,137,626). The reference materials are insoluble in water, and will therefore inherently have the recited solubility parameter.